
IMPORTANCE OF COLLABORATION BETWEEN SCHOOL, FAMILY, AND COMMUNITY

Prof. Lorenzo Antonio De Luca

Department of Education and Human Sciences, Niccolò Cusano University, Rome, Italy

Keywords:

Inclusive Education,
Physical Activity, Diversity
in Learning, Educational
Equity, School-Family
Collaboration

ABSTRACT

In today's educational landscape, inclusive education—particularly through the medium of physical activity—plays a vital role in promoting equity and holistic development. Physical education serves not only as a tool for physical well-being but also as a powerful vehicle for social inclusion and educational success. By fostering collaboration among schools, families, and extracurricular settings, inclusive physical education supports the diffusion of universal values and promotes the acquisition of life-changing skills for all students, especially those facing learning and social barriers.

This inclusive approach shifts the focus from viewing diversity as a challenge to embracing it as a valuable educational resource. Inclusive teaching methods encourage the recognition and capitalization of individual differences, ensuring that all students, regardless of ability, can fully participate in the learning process. Far from being limited to students with disabilities or special educational needs, inclusivity is now understood as a broader educational strategy that enhances the learning environment for everyone.

An inclusive school does not merely adapt to the needs of a few but proactively designs its teaching practices, environments, and community interactions to support the success of every learner. This requires rethinking educational contexts, employing adaptable strategies that serve both individual needs and collective growth. The Italian educational system, aligned with modern pedagogical frameworks, emphasizes the value of diversity and promotes inclusive classrooms as dynamic spaces where every student contributes to and benefits from shared learning experiences.

Ultimately, physical education emerges as a critical domain where inclusiveness can thrive, offering moments of aggregation, empowerment, and personal growth. When applied inclusively, it becomes not only a physical pursuit but a socially transformative practice that strengthens the educational mission and supports the development of empathetic, resilient, and collaborative individuals.

Introduction

In recent years, the concept of school inclusiveness has gained increasing prominence in educational discourse across the globe. Central to this discussion is the recognition that inclusive education is not merely about integrating students with disabilities or special educational needs into mainstream classrooms, but about transforming schools into environments where every student, regardless of ability, background, or circumstance, has equal opportunities to succeed. Inclusiveness, in this sense,

transcends physical presence in the classroom and encompasses active participation, meaningful learning, and a sense of belonging for all learners.

An inclusive school is defined not simply by its openness to diversity but by its proactive and intentional efforts to design educational practices that are mindful of everyone. Such a school does not aim to "fix" the individual to fit into a rigid system; rather, it aims to modify the context—its curricula, pedagogy, environment, and relationships—so that the system adapts to the diverse needs of its students.

In this model, inclusivity becomes both a principle and a process. It is a principle in the sense that it affirms the inherent dignity and rights of every learner to be educated alongside their peers. It is a process in that it involves ongoing efforts to eliminate barriers to learning and participation through thoughtful planning, differentiated instruction, and the cultivation of supportive classroom cultures.

The Italian school system, like many others in Europe, has been called upon to engage more deeply with these inclusive values. Current pedagogical guidelines advocate for a shift from a deficit-based view of student diversity to one that sees difference as a strength and a resource. This perspective encourages educators to recognize and nurture the unique potential of each student, thereby enhancing the collective learning experience. Classrooms are envisioned as communities where students are not isolated by their limitations but empowered by their capabilities, and where collaboration and mutual respect are foundational.

In such inclusive settings, the presence of a student with a disability is not seen as a challenge to be managed but as an opportunity for the entire class to grow in empathy, patience, and adaptability. These students often serve as mirrors through which others can reflect on their own limitations and strengths, leading to a more holistic educational experience. This view is supported by contemporary research, which highlights how inclusive education benefits not only students with special needs but the entire student body. When schools embrace the full spectrum of human diversity, they become more dynamic, innovative, and effective in preparing all learners for life in a pluralistic society (Brennan, King, & Travers, 2021; Óskarsdóttir et al., 2020).

Moreover, inclusive education fosters a stronger connection between the school, families, and the broader community. It calls for a cultural shift in which collaboration, shared responsibility, and a common commitment to every student's success are paramount. The involvement of families, educators, and support personnel in designing and implementing inclusive strategies is essential to ensure that education becomes a truly transformative experience.

As such, the inclusive school is not just a theoretical ideal but a practical necessity in today's diverse and complex societies. It represents a commitment to educational equity, social justice, and the full realization of human potential. It challenges

educators to reimagine their roles not merely as transmitters of knowledge but as facilitators of inclusive and meaningful learning experiences for all.

1. **The Inclusive Didactic Approach**

Inclusive teaching is the teaching of all, which is based on personalization and individualization through active, participatory, constructive, and affective methodologies. The quality of inclusive teaching is determined by educational reflexivity and intentionality, by the search for alternative motivations and hypotheses, by the ability to change perspectives of meaning and to produce transformative learning (DeMatthews, Serafini, & Watson, 2021).

As Pennac (2010) argues, "Each student plays his own instrument, there is nothing to be done. The difficult thing is to get to know our musicians well and find harmony. A good class is not a regiment marching in step, it is an orchestra playing the same symphony."

Inclusive teaching is, therefore, a teaching conceived, designed and planned, from the beginning, based on individual variability, capable of being accessible for all students and not only for some with special educational needs. Designing in an inclusive way means thinking, whatever the content to be conveyed, of personalized, multi-modal, and multilevel forms of teaching, because each student faces learning at different levels and ways, thus avoiding "path emergencies" that force, a posteriori, to modify what has been designed for an "ideal class", thus avoiding loss of effectiveness of the teaching action itself. Poorly designed and poorly conducted teaching can run the risk of creating barriers to learning itself (Graham, 2020). Inclusive teaching is therefore an innovative and flexible teaching "style" that facilitates the participation, enhancement, and educational success of all students.

Inclusive teaching challenges teachers to develop a wide repertoire of teaching strategies that are considered effective, not only for pupils with special needs, but for everyone. This means that every teacher can improve their effectiveness, first of all by knowing and then using, monitoring and evaluating the best strategy, so as to help students become more effective learners themselves. There is a lot of research that shows how meta-cognitive, cooperative strategies, the strengthening of social and emotional skills, as well as the creation of a positive classroom climate, are essential for inclusive teaching. Developing a broad repertoire of effective strategies is essential as long as they reflect knowledge of the

characteristics, needs of students and environmental circumstances, as well as teachers' professional and personal knowledge and skills (Garg, & Sharma, 2020; Sleeter, & Zavala, 2020).

In addition to the dimension of effectiveness with respect to methodological-didactic choices and actions to be made, an inclusive teacher cannot forget the importance of relational and emotional skills. Numerous studies show that teachers' appropriate mental attitude, emotional closeness, and ability to give appropriate and positive feedback to students are decisive elements for their academic success and the creation of a good classroom climate. The training of teachers with respect to their social and emotional skills, in an inclusive school context, certainly cannot be underestimated. The quality of the classroom climate (made up of relationships and emotional experiences) is crucial for the success of students, who learn best when they are in an emotionally safe and predictable environment, which motivates them and stimulates them towards positive and inclusive goals (Demchenko, Maksymchuk, Bilan, Maksymchuk, & Kalynovska, 2021).

The concept of inclusivity in contemporary schools goes beyond disability, learning disorders, explicit difficulties in integration and behavior and concerns all pupils with respect to whom it is necessary to give different answers for their educational needs. The goal of inclusive teaching is, in fact, to make all students reach the highest possible degree of learning and social participation, enhancing the differences present in the class. UNESCO's Guidelines for Integration Policies in Education (2009) emphasize that: "Inclusive schooling is a process of strengthening the capacities of the education system to reach all students. [...]. An inclusive school system can only be created if mainstream schools become more inclusive." In other words, if they become better at educating all the children in their community. For pupils with certified disabilities, on the other hand, Legislative Decree No. 66 of 13 April 2017 lays down specific rules for the promotion of their full school integration. In particular, it refers to the so-called Plan for Inclusion that each school must prepare to overcome all those factors that may hinder its implementation, for the coordinated use of resources and to design specific improvement interventions. The Inclusion Plan must include an analysis of strengths and weaknesses, objectives to increase inclusiveness for the following school year, resources (support teachers, assistants, contact persons), methodologies, methods of involving families,

relations with local social and health services that are intended to be activated, actions for the acquisition and distribution of additional resources for the implementation of inclusion projects, the preparation of interventions for entry into the school system, continuity between the different levels of school and future integration into the world of work (Ciyer, 2010).

The inclusive school, therefore, equips itself in a synergistic way to propose multiple educational and didactic strategies, according to a holistic approach, which considers the student as a complex and multidimensional person with his or her own socio-cultural and biological characteristics, and aims at the overall development of the potential and skills of each one for the achievement of the highest possible level of learning and socialization. To achieve this, however, schools must have the courage to renounce the standardization of teaching (Kinsella, 2020). It must allow all its members to feel part of a community in which the same educational ideals are shared, the individuality of all its members is respected, each with his or her own interests, needs and talents, and offers multiple possibilities and formative opportunities, so that each one can realize his or her aspirations. Fundamental to this new vision of the school is respect for the right to self-determination and to the construction of future life projects adapted to the potential of each and everyone, to the aspirations of families and to the new demands of society and culture. The school intends to achieve these important educational objectives with the collaboration of families with whom it is necessary to share, in respect of roles and specific responsibilities, objectives, goals and strategies for the healthy growth of young people. An inclusive systemic vision must also consider the contributions that can come from collaboration with other public and private entities, and from associations operating in the area and that are able to contribute to ensuring the educational success of students (Kim, Yi, & Hong, 2021; Miller et al., 2022; Stinken-Rösner et al., 2020). In the process of individualization of teaching, activities are provided for disabled pupils so that it is possible to achieve knowledge and skills

common to the rest of the class. Common objectives, therefore, to the class group, but different, individualized methodologies, which consider the potential, skills, and personal abilities of the disabled student, which become a resource, trying to eliminate the factors that originate or maintain the difficulty.

Personalized teaching, on the other hand, provides for the achievement of objectives that are different from those of the class, because they are built ad hoc on the disability of the individual student, enhancing his or her strengths. In this way, by specifically considering the different ability of the pupil as a peculiarity of his own, the pupil will be given the opportunity to develop his full potential and give him the opportunity to transmit his different ability to others (Väyrynen, & Paksuniemi, 2020).

To interpret inclusion as a "day-to-day" way of managing classrooms, training must be aimed at both support teachers and all curriculum teachers. Indications and Guidelines remind us that diversity poses a great challenge to didactic and educational action, such as being able to face it, having adequate skills in inclusive teaching strategies, in order to respond adequately not only to the needs of disabled pupils or with Specific Learning Disorders, with other difficulties or developmental disorders and with social and cultural disadvantage, but also emphasizing the quality of learning of all pupils (Sturm, 2019).

A key aspect is also that of "taking charge" of the student, which must be carried out by the entire "educating community", avoiding processes of delegation to the support teacher alone. Hence, therefore, the need for targeted, specific, modular training interventions that consider the different starting levels of those who access training and, above all, based on a participatory vision of inclusion and oriented towards

cooperation and cooperative teaching (Mihajlovic, 2020).

Nevertheless, the school, which for over forty years has been trying to be a welcoming and inclusive context, today feels it must oppose the adverse pressures that come from the outside with a decisive and constructive commitment to inclusion. These educational ideals are expressed through pedagogical challenges from which the school cannot move away. Learning and teaching practices can guide inclusive actions in the classrooms, through participation, cooperation, interdependence, and laboratory methodology.

2. Physical Education as a means of inclusion

The stimulating encounter between physical activity and the world of disability takes place starting from the origins of school inclusion. Physical education, in fact, is one of the school disciplines that contributes decisively to the formation of one's bodily identity, personality and the acquisition of communicative-relational skills (Demchenko, Maksymchuk, Bilan, Maksymchuk, & Kalynovska, 2021). It is considered fundamental for the harmonious development of the personality and for the formation of citizens capable of expressing themselves and relating in today's world, so much so that it has been defined as a "hinge discipline between the scientific, communicative and expressive, relational and citizenship fields" (MIUR, 2018). Physical education promotes self-knowledge and one's potential in a constant relationship with the environment, others, and objects. It also contributes to the formation of the student's personality through the knowledge and awareness of one's own bodily identity, as well as the continuous need for movement as a constant care of one's person and well-being. Motor activities provide students with opportunities to reflect on the changes in their bodies, to accept

them and live them serenely as an expression of each person's growth and maturation process (Sánchez, de Haro-Rodríguez, & Martínez, 2019). They also offer opportunities to reflect on the significance of self-image in comparison with peers. The primary objective of physical education is, in fact, to promote the growth of physically educated people through the acquisition of skills, such as motor skills, knowledge, socio-affective behaviors and motivations such that they are urged to adopt healthy and physically active lifestyles based on awareness of the bodily (Haegele, 2019). This orientation frames the discipline in a holistic approach, which identifies among its main purposes the physical, cognitive, and social development of children, together with the promotion of a healthy lifestyle, assigning it the role of a privileged tool for the structuring of personality, social relationships and for the acquisition of the necessary life skills throughout life. It is for this reason that physical education is proposed as an essential element for integrated growth. It follows that, through a conscious pedagogical orientation aimed at proposing significant motor experiences and the acquisition of new skills, it is necessary to promote an adequate motor planning that correlates with a coherent pedagogical intentionality, in order to adequately define motor action and to attribute a fair value to it. Therefore, education through the body and movement cannot be linked to didactic strategies linked only to doing but must also and above all be realized in a condition linked to the deepest meaning of being a person acting in the environment (Hutzler, Meier, Reuker, & Zitomer, 2019).

Starting from these assumptions, the School must, therefore, become the ideal setting in which to build a didactic of motor and sports

activities that looks at the educational conception of the body and movement understood as a factor of promotion not only of the aspects of self-construction but also as an educational exercise for the acquisition of healthy lifestyles that allow to improve the well-being and health of the individual. In this sense, it becomes necessary to integrate in the teaching practice both the purely physical and the socio-relational aspects, in order to allow a global taking charge of the psychophysical health of the subject.

Physical education must, therefore, necessarily become essential to the formation of young people. In fact, it contributes to their growth both in structural terms and to the formation of the Self that concerns the body, its potential and its limits, its position in physical space, its perception in relation to others, its image. It also plays a crucial role in directing motivation to improve one's individual skills and self-determination in achieving psycho-physical well-being. Therefore, it not only has the educational value that contributes to the development of motor skills, abilities, and competences, but also and above all the value of all those activities that, through movement, contribute to the structuring of identity and awareness of the potential of one's own body (Rekaa, Hanisch, & Ytterhus, 2019).

Physical education conceived and experimented in this way puts into practice a didactic that becomes a tool for the metacognitive development of the body and mind, in a framework in which the subjects can recognize themselves in body and movement. The education of the body and movement is therefore aimed at a training process capable of allowing learners to achieve an ever-greater awareness of their body in movement in order to obtain that intrinsic pleasure and the achievement of cultural, social and expressive factors that

identify the value aspect of motor activities (Vilchez, Kruse, Puffer, & Dudovitz, 2021). It follows that the educational process represents the tool through which the individual gives shape to his or her personal identity, integrating all those knowledge, skills and competencies that allow him or her to live and mature an increasingly complete self-awareness. The body and movement are, therefore, two fundamental elements of the individual and social dimension in the personal development of the individual, it follows that physical activities provide a special contribution to the creation and strengthening of skills that can be used in other contexts of life. Within the didactic path of motor and sports education, due to the specific experiential characteristics of the discipline, the curriculum helps to develop transversal skills capable of making students capable of transforming the knowledge, attitudes and values acquired into real skills, such as "what to do" and "how to do it" in every circumstance of life (Nilholm, 2021).

This type of offer characterized by a multiplicity of accessible, interdisciplinary, situated, and immersive learning opportunities, based on plural and differentiated didactic mediations in their complementarity, represents the methodological framework within which the knowledge and skills acquired through physical, and sports education can contribute to the development of educational and social equity.

3. Physical education as a perspective for the development of individual potential

Physical education unquestionably assumes an educational value for everyone, able bodied and disabled; For the latter, play, movement, and sport take on an added value by giving visibility to their physical and cognitive abilities. Every form of educational intervention stems from the teacher's ability to remove "obstacles", focusing

on functional abilities and hypothesizing suitable, not adapted, educational proposals (Kirk, 2019).

The presence of a person with disabilities within a group changes relationships, relationships, balances, emotions on an intra and interpersonal level: there begins to be more collaboration, sharing and there is a tendency to face any obstacle in order to resolve the various conflicts. Disability also produces advantages for able-bodied people to the extent that they are in an inclusive dimension that is not limited only to welcoming diversity. Various didactic and educational strategies must be put in place in order to create a learning community, a community that manifests solidarity and sensitivity.

Everyone should perceive difference as a value or resource and not as a threat precisely because, when an individual meet someone "different" from yourself, you create a greater awareness of your identity. It is necessary to create a new educational paradigm, it is necessary to educate to the difference, to the other and to the different to create those effective conditions in which the subject can express his potential and the most hidden reactions (Sulasmi, & Akrim, 2019).

It becomes necessary to identify the skills of the most "fragile" in order to enhance their abilities within the class group. The resulting didactic proposal will have to respond to the needs of the students, taking into account the conditions of extreme heterogeneity of the classes, aiming at the discovery of their own body and their motor and communication skills to increase self-esteem and self-confidence. Starting from the assumption that every student needs, indifferently, appropriate educational proposals and that whatever the "difficulty", the educator will have to create the conditions of welcome and collaboration such as to allow adequate

participation in the activity, Motor and Sports Education could intervene as a facilitator of school learning in subjects with special educational needs.

The person, the goal, and the context (rules and people) are the factors that unite the world of play and sport and the world of disability: everyone indiscriminately uses their skills and determination to achieve the same goal, that is, to reach the limit of their limits by overcoming obstacles. From this point of view, it is important to be able to train the teachers themselves in order to make them understand the real and personal needs of the students in order to create inclusive actions that can make the disabled person feel the protagonist of their own life project and no longer a simple spectator in which others play their game (Opstoel et al., 2020).

Physical education, in fact, becomes effective when the focus is on the student and not on sport, when the teacher is able to motivate the student and create a positive teacher learner relationship, making him more and more autonomous in his activity and personal choices. The educational process and the related training project require an educational space in which motor and sports paths are flexible and personalized in relation to the characteristics and peculiarities of each subject, which allow not only to "overcome" the deficit but also to increase in each subject the awareness of his possibilities, thus contributing to the formation of his identity. It happens, in fact, that every student has special needs to be transformed into special desires and life prospects, as each subject is unique and unrepeatable, with his or her own experience and his or her own life project. The line to be taken is, therefore, that of "valuing differences in the awareness of diversity as an indispensable value" in order to confront the diversity of each student in a new learning environment that ensures

convergence and balance between different characteristics and needs and above all is able to change flexibly with changing attitudes, aspirations, environments, fragility and potential of the person (White et al., 2021).

Looking at the disabled person beyond their deficit means considering their life history by providing different possibilities for personalized and integrated growth. Physical education is well suited to this training approach as motor activities allow you to "abandon the pressures of everyday life, have more bodily contact, be cooperative, resolve conflicts, get to know the other and, consequently, socialize with all people beyond disability" (Juvonen, Lessard, Rastogi, Schacter, & Smith, 2019).

Physical education, as a training process, therefore, represents a real breaking down of social barriers, a tool capable of giving life to an innovative and mature paradigm based on the promotion of social inclusion. For the disabled person sharing the same experience, the same spaces and the same moments with others represents an opportunity for growth and social integration. Physical education should be considered as a method of intervention in the difficult educational path and integration of the disabled person. The motor and sports field, in fact, is a favorable context for the development and consolidation of fundamental cognitive skills and, at the same time, the body, motor activity, games and recreational activities aimed at integration, become concrete and coherent educational tools for the affirmation of this paradigm.

Through motor activities, exploiting a psychoeducational intervention model that integrates the educational aspect with the psychological and relational one, it is possible to create the conditions for the subject with disabilities to benefit from a learning context,

understood as growth and structuring of the personality (search for autonomy). This means, first of all, valuing the diversity of the student: difference is to be considered a resource and a richness and, in this sense, collaboration and teamwork are essential for everyone's growth (Pangrazi, & Beighle, 2019).

Sport and play, in addition to spreading the values of solidarity, loyalty, respect for the person and the rules, which are the founding principles of any healthy society, are extraordinary tools for building skills that can be transferred to other contexts of life. The organization of a competition, the definition of roles, the determination of timing, game strategies, are real intellectual skills that can be transferred to any context of life and represent skills that everyone should be able to put into practice when they must make decisions or prepare a program of action. Movement activities are opportunities to privilege creativity and emotional investment because the educational perspective is to support the processes that lead to autonomy, the growth of self-esteem, the ability to initiative and self-awareness at various levels, physical and emotional.

Conclusions

Educating the person to become himself, also by concentrating on his bodily realization, means helping him to become aware of his being a whole person, which is expressed and fulfilled through movement, action. This means accompanying the subject to read the body not only as a set of muscle bundles to be controlled as such and therefore a mere expression of coordinative and conditional capacities, but to ensure that it grasps itself integrally in its total corporeality, which also involves relating to others. The body, as a co-builder of knowledge and self-knowledge, in its being "in situation", is correlated with

motivation, with the intentionality that each subject puts into his action and not only with his mechanical action of a merely reproductive model.

Physical education, conducted in formal, non-formal and informal educational systems, calls for the acquisition of the person's awareness of his transformation as a bodily identity, in his existence, acting and perfecting himself. In this sense, pedagogical intentionality has as its peculiarity the feeling and becoming of bodies, embodied and embodied actions that give meaning and significance to the person's experience, including those who may show deficits and disabilities. Physical education has, in essence, the purpose of allowing each and every one to develop their own personal life project according to an eco-systemic approach, using a multi-perspective vision in relation to the contribution that the different motor activities can offer in order to achieve a "formative" design.

References

- Brennan, A., King, F., & Travers, J. (2021). Supporting the enactment of inclusive pedagogy in a primary school. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 25(13), 1540–1557. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2019.1651412>
- Ciyer, A. (2010). Developing inclusive education policies and practices in Turkey: A study of the roles of UNESCO and local educators (Doctoral dissertation). Arizona State University.
- DeMatthews, D. E., Serafini, A., & Watson, T. N. (2021). Leading inclusive schools: Principal perceptions, practices, and challenges to meaningful change. *Educational Administration Quarterly*, 57(1), 3–48.

- <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013161X20917852>
- Demchenko, I., Maksymchuk, B., Bilan, V., Maksymchuk, I., & Kalynovska, I. (2021). Training future physical education teachers for professional activities under the conditions of inclusive education. *BRAIN. Broad Research in Artificial Intelligence and Neuroscience*, 12(3), 191–213.
<https://doi.org/10.18662/brain/12.3/222>
- Garg, S., & Sharma, S. (2020). Impact of artificial intelligence in special need education to promote inclusive pedagogy. *International Journal of Information and Education Technology*, 10(7), 523–527.
<https://doi.org/10.18178/ijiet.2020.10.7.1403>
- Graham, L. (Ed.). (2020). *Inclusive education for the 21st century: Theory, policy and practice*. Routledge.
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003111228>
- Haegele, J. A. (2019). Inclusion illusion: Questioning the inclusiveness of integrated physical education: 2019 National Association for Kinesiology in Higher Education Hally Beth Poindexter Young Scholar Address. *Quest*, 71(4), 387–397.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00336297.2019.1656573>
- Hansen, J. H., Carrington, S., Jensen, C. R., Molbæk, M., & Secher Schmidt, M. C. (2020). The collaborative practice of inclusion and exclusion. *Nordic Journal of Studies in Educational Policy*, 6(1), 47–57.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/20020317.2020.1736287>
- Hutzler, Y., Meier, S., Reuker, S., & Zitomer, M. (2019). Attitudes and self-efficacy of physical education teachers toward inclusion of children with disabilities: A narrative review of international literature. *Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy*, 24(3), 249–266.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/17408989.2019.1571183>
- Juvonen, J., Lessard, L. M., Rastogi, R., Schacter, H. L., & Smith, D. S. (2019). Promoting social inclusion in educational settings: Challenges and opportunities. *Educational Psychologist*, 54(4), 250–270.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2019.1655645>
- Kefallinou, A., Symeonidou, S., & Meijer, C. J. (2020). Understanding the value of inclusive education and its implementation: A review of the literature. *Prospects*, 49(3–4), 135–152.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11125-020-09451-w>
- Kim, H. J., Yi, P., & Hong, J. I. (2021). Are schools digitally inclusive for all? Profiles of school digital inclusion using PISA 2018. *Computers & Education*, 170, 104226.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2021.104226>
- Kinsella, W. (2020). Organising inclusive schools. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 24(12), 1340–1356.

- <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2018.1521116>
- Kirk, D. (2019). *Precarity, critical pedagogy and physical education*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429025656>
- Mihajlovic, C. (2020). Special educators' perceptions of their role in inclusive education: A case study in Finland. *Journal of Pedagogical Research*, 4(2), 83–97. <https://doi.org/10.33902/JPR.2020061403>
- Miller, A. L., Wilt, C. L., Allcock, H. C., Kurth, J. A., Morningstar, M. E., & Ruppard, A. L. (2022). Teacher agency for inclusive education: An international scoping review. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 26(12), 1159–1177. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2020.1855108>
- Nilholm, C. (2021). Research about inclusive education in 2020 – How can we improve our theories in order to change practice? *European Journal of Special Needs Education*, 36(3), 358–370. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08856257.2020.1755923>
- Opstoel, K., Chapelle, L., Prins, F. J., De Meester, A., Haerens, L., van Tartwijk, J., & De Martelaer, K. (2020). Personal and social development in physical education and sports: A review study. *European Physical Education Review*, 26(4), 797–813. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1356336X20912779>
- Óskarsdóttir, E., Donnelly, V., Turner-Cmuchal, M., & Florian, L. (2020). Inclusive school leaders – Their role in raising the achievement of all learners. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 58(5), 521–537. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEA-04-2019-0067>
- Pangrazi, R. P., & Beighle, A. (2019). *Dynamic physical education for elementary school children* (19th ed.). Human Kinetics.
- Pennac, D. (2010). *Diario di scuola*. Feltrinelli Editore.
- Pozo-Armentia, A. D., Reyero, D., & Gil Cantero, F. (2020). The pedagogical limitations of inclusive education. *Educational Philosophy and Theory*, 52(10), 1064–1076. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131857.2020.1717630>
- Rekaa, H., Hanisch, H., & Ytterhus, B. (2019). Inclusion in physical education: Teacher attitudes and student experiences. A systematic review. *International Journal of Disability, Development and Education*, 66(1), 36–55. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1034912X.2018.1439156>
- Sánchez, P. A., de Haro-Rodríguez, R., & Martínez, R. M. (2019). Barriers to student learning and participation in an inclusive school as perceived by future education professionals. *Journal of New Approaches in Educational Research*, 8(1), 18–24. <https://doi.org/10.7821/naer.2019.1.321>

- Sleeter, C. E., & Zavala, M. (2020). Transformative ethnic studies in schools: Curriculum, pedagogy, and research. Teachers College Press.
- Stentiford, L., & Koutsouris, G. (2021). What are inclusive pedagogies in higher education? A systematic scoping review. *Studies in Higher Education*, 46(11), 2245–2261. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2020.1843151>
- Stinken-Rösner, L., Rott, L., Hundertmark, S., Baumann, T., Menthe, J., Hoffmann, T., ... & Abels, S. (2020). Thinking inclusive science education from two perspectives: Inclusive pedagogy and science education. *Research in Subject-matter Teaching and Learning (RISTAL)*, 3(1), 30–45. <https://doi.org/10.23770/rt1839>
- Sturm, T. (2019). Constructing and addressing differences in inclusive schooling – Comparing cases from Germany, Norway and the United States. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 23(6), 656–669. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2018.1441333>
- Sulasmı, E., & Akrim, A. (2019). Management construction of inclusion education in primary school. *Kumpulan Makalah, Jurnal Dosen*, 1(1). [No DOI available]
- UNESCO. (2009). Guidelines for inclusion: Ensuring access to education for all. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000177849>
- Väyrynen, S., & Paksuniemi, M. (2020). Translating inclusive values into pedagogical actions. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 24(2), 147–161. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2018.1458594>
- Vilchez, J. A., Kruse, J., Puffer, M., & Dudovitz, R. N. (2021). Teachers and school health leaders' perspectives on distance learning physical education during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of School Health*, 91(7), 541–549. <https://doi.org/10.1111/josh.13033>
- White, R. L., Bennie, A., Vasconcellos, D., Cinelli, R., Hilland, T., Owen, K. B., & Lonsdale, C. (2021). Self-determination theory in physical education: A systematic review of qualitative studies. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 99, 103247. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2020.103247>
- World Health Organization. (2020). Physical activity. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/physical-activity>