

ANALYSIS OF THE ADOPTION OF GOOD AGRICULTURAL PRACTICES AMONG FARMERS OF ARABLE CROPS IN BORNO STATE, NIGERIA

¹Lawal H., ¹Giroh, D. Y., ^{*2}Aliyu, Y. M. and ³Babayo, G

Article Info

Keywords: Adoption, arable crops, Borno State, Good Agricultural Practices, socio-economic factors

DOI

10.5281/zenodo.17426555

Abstract

This study examines the socioeconomic factors influencing the adoption of good agricultural practices (GAPs) among arable crop farmers in Borno State, Nigeria. Using a sample size of 390 respondents, socioeconomic characteristics and adoption levels were collected. The descriptive statistics revealed that the majority of the respondents were male (73.85%), married (76.15%), and had tertiary education (36.15%). The average age was 43 years, and the household size was 8 and the farm size was 3.31 hectares. A majority (90.26%) of farmers adopted GAPs, with 75.28% exhibiting majority adoption levels. Logit regression analysis identified farm size ($p < 0.05$), cooperative membership ($p < 0.01$), and access to credit ($p < 0.01$) as significant predictors of GAP adoption. The findings recommend that addressing key barriers, including input accessibility, credit facilities, and cooperative participation, is required to promote GAP adoption in Borno State. Targeted policy interventions, efficient extension services, and security improvements are crucial for regional sustainable agricultural development. Improved Input Supply: To boost GAP adoption, government and development agencies should ensure timely and adequate provision of essential inputs such as fertilizers, seeds, and pesticides at subsidized rates. Access to credit significantly influences GAP adoption. Government agencies, financial institutions, and cooperatives should develop flexible, low-interest credit schemes. Additionally, improving market access through better infrastructure, price stabilization policies, and digital marketing platforms will encourage adoption by ensuring profitability.

¹Department of Agricultural Economics and Extension School of Agriculture and Agriculture Technology, Moddibo Adama University, Yola, Nigeria

²Department of Agricultural Extension Services Faculty of Agricultural University of Maiduguri, Maiduguri

³Federal College of Horticulture, Dadin Kowa, Gombe, Nigeria

Corresponding Author Email: aliyumudi2@gmail.com

Phone Number: +234 8067941311, +234 9022588260.

INTRODUCTION

Nigerian agriculture aims to transition from low-productivity subsistence farming to a high-yield agro-industrial economy through the adoption of improved technology (Adenle *et al.*, 2017). Good agricultural practices (GAPs), introduced by the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), play a crucial role in enhancing rural farmers' productivity, efficiency, and income. GAPs contribute to sustainable agriculture by modernizing farming methods, ensuring food security and improving livelihoods (FAO, 2021). Despite government efforts, Nigerian agriculture faces challenges such as low technology adoption, market constraints, and environmental degradation (Onoja, 2023). Programs such as Fadama and N2Africa promote GAPs to address these issues, emphasizing climate-smart techniques, improved inputs, and better market access (N2Africa, 2015). However, empirical data on the adoption of GAP in Borno State remains limited. This study assesses the extent of GAP adoption among arable farmers, providing insights to enhance agricultural productivity and policy development in the region.

Objective of the study

1. To determine the socioeconomic characteristics of the farmers.
2. To determine the level of adoption of good agricultural practices among farmers; and
3. To determine the socio-economic factors influencing the adoption of GAPs

METHODOLOGY

Borno State, covering 61,435 km², is at latitude 12°08'60.00" N and longitude 12°53'59.99" E. It shares borders with Niger, Chad, Cameroon, and Nigerian states, including Adamawa, Gombe, and Yobe. The state comprises 27 local government areas (LGAs) and has a projected 2024 population of 7,171,104 in 2024, growing at an annual rate of 3% (NPC, 2021). Agriculture is the backbone of the economy, employing most residents. Annual rainfall varies from 600 mm in the north to 1,200 mm in the south, with a growing season of 100-180 days (World Bank, 2021). Temperatures range from 9.0°C to 31.9°C (Quick Projects, 2018). Farming is primarily small-scale and subsistence-based, producing arable crops such as maize, sorghum, and rice; legumes such as cowpeas and groundnuts; fruits including mango and guava; and livestock such as cattle, sheep, and poultry (FAO, 2021). Despite agriculture contributing 65% of the state's GDP, insecurity has drastically reduced local food production to only 5.9% of the state's needs, making Borno highly dependent on food imports and humanitarian aid (World Bank, 2018).

Sampling technique

Borno State has three agricultural zones (Zone 1, Zone 2, and Zone 3), each of which has nine LGAs. The study employed a multi-stage sampling technique as follows:

1. First Stage: Three LGAs were purposively selected from each zone based on agricultural intensity:
 - i. Zone 1: Biu, Kwaya Kusar, and Bayo
 - ii. Zone 2: Bama, Konduga, and Jere
 - iii. Zone 3: Magumeri, Nganzai, and Monguno
 (Total: 9 LGAs)
2. Second Stage: A proportionate selection of 131 agricultural blocks and 119 extension cells was performed.
3. Third Stage: Using BOSADP records, the sampling frame was formed by 15,450 registered farmers. The Yamane (1969) formula determined the sample size as follows:

$$n = \frac{N}{1 + N(e)^2}$$
 Substituting $N=15,450$ and $e = 0.05$, the sample size was 390, adjusted to 410 (+5%) to account for invalid entries.

4. Final Stage: The 410 farmers were proportionally distributed across the selected LGAs based on registered farmer populations.

Table 3.1: Sampling procedure.

S/N	L.G. A	Extension blocks	Select the extension block	Extension cells	Selection of extension cells	Registered farmers	Farmers selected
1.	Biu	16	11	30	15	2900	77
2.	Bayo	10	7	12	9	1100	29
3.	KwayaKusar	13	9	16	10	1400	37
4.	Bama	80	54	28	14	1500	40
5.	Konduga	11	8	16	9	1000	27
6.	Jere	14	10	12	8	1600	42
7.	Magumeri	15	11	20	13	2000	53
8.	Nganzai	12	10	12	9	1000	27
9.	Monguno	16	11	25	14	2950	78
Total	9	187	131	171	119	15450	410

Source: Field Survey of 2023

Data analysis

Descriptive and inferential statistics were used in the analysis of the collected data. Descriptive statistics in the form of frequencies, mean, and percentage were used to achieve objectives (i). A five-point Likert scale (was used to further determine objective ii) of the mean score was used to determine the level of adoption of GAPs: $5+4+3+2+1 = 15/5 = 3$ (3.2)

The mean value of the scale of 3 was used as the cutoff point to rank the responses. Any mean response of ≥ 3 is considered a high adopter, whereas a mean score of ≤ 2 is considered a low adopter. Inferential statistics in the form of binary logit regression was used to achieve objective (III), which is the socioeconomic factors influencing the adoption of GAPs. Binary logit regression analysis was used to measure the influence of socioeconomic institutional factors on farmers' level of adoption of GAPs. Ochieng *et al.* (2017) used binary logit regression to analyze the level of GAP adoption in Kenya. The model is stated as follows:

$$\text{Log}(Y_i) = \text{Ln} \left[\frac{P_i}{1-P_i} \right] = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 X_4 + \beta_5 X_5 + \beta_6 X_6 + e \dots (3.4)$$

$$Y_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the } i\text{th farmer is a high adopter*} \\ 0 & \text{if the } i\text{th farmer is a low adopter} \end{cases}$$

*If an with farmer's mean score in the level of adoption of GAP is 3 and above, s/he is considered a high adopter; however, if the mean score is less than 3, the farmer is considered a low adopter. Where: X_1 = sex (1= male, 0= female), X_2 = education (number of years spent in formal education), X_3 = farm size (hectares), X_4 = Membership of Farmer Cooperative (1= yes, no =0), X_5 = Access to credit (1= yes, 0= no), X_6 = access to extension contact (1= yes, 0= no).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Socioeconomic characteristics of the respondents

The study examined key socioeconomic characteristics of arable crop farmers, including gender, marital status, education, land ownership, farm size, income, and access to credit and extension services. Males constituted 73.85% of the respondents, indicating male dominance in arable crop production due to family responsibilities.

The lower participation of women as a result socio-cultural and religious factors. However, women play vital roles in farming activities such as seed sowing, harvesting, and processing. Marital status analysis revealed that 76.15% of farmers were married, reflecting their responsibility for family welfare, which drives their engagement in farming. This finding aligns with the findings that married individuals are more involved in agriculture to support their households. This study concurred with Nwaobiala *et al.* (2019).

Table 1. Distribution of respondents based on socioeconomic factors (n = 390).

Gender	Frequency	Percentage
Male	102	73.85
Female	288	26.15
Marital status		
Divorced	25	6.41
Married	297	76.15
Single	68	17.44
Level of education		
Non-formal	80	20.51
Primary	41	10.51
Secondary	128	32.82
Tertiary	141	36.15
Cooperative membership		
No	184	47.18
Yes	206	52.82
Access to credit		
No	284	72.82
Yes	106	27.18
Access to Extension Services		
No	365	93.59
Yes	25	6.41
Land ownership system		
Family	137	35.13
Hired	150	38.46
None	1	0.26
Others	20	5.13
Personal	82	21.03

Source: Fieldwork (2023)

This study examined the socioeconomic characteristics of arable crop farmers, including gender, marital status, education, land tenure, farm size, income, and access to credit and extension services. Males made up 73.85% of the respondents, indicating male dominance in production, although women still play key roles in sowing, harvesting, and processing. Approximately 76.15% of farmers were married, supporting findings that marital responsibility drives farming involvement (Ogunbameru, Idrisa, & Shehu, 2008). Education also influenced the adoption of GAPs, with 36.15% having tertiary education and 32.82% having secondary education, suggesting high literacy and ability to understand agricultural messages, although education alone does not determine GAP adoption (Oluwatayo & Oluwaseyo, 2024; Onya *et al.*, 2019; HumAngle, 2020).

Over half (52.82%) of farmers belonged to cooperatives, which improve access to inputs, information, and credit (Yusuf *et al.*, 2021; Akomdo *et al.*, 2023). However, 72.82% of farmers lacked access to credit, limiting investment in seeds, fertilizers, and machinery, unlike southwestern Nigeria, where 45% accessed credit (Olagunju & Ayanwale, 2019; Nwaru *et al.*, 2011). Access to extension services was extremely low, with 93.59% lacking support, compared to 77% in rural Ghana (Akomdo *et al.*, 2023). However, extension is critical for knowledge dissemination and productivity (Oluwatayo & Oluwaseyo, 2024). Land tenure posed challenges: 38.46% hired land, 35.13% used family land, and only 21.03% owned land. Hiring reduces investment capacity, whereas tenure security promotes land improvement (Fasona *et al.*, 2020; Idowu, 2021). Overall, education, cooperative membership, credit, extension, and land security significantly affect GAP adoption and productivity. Addressing these gaps is crucial for the agricultural development of Borno State.

Table 2 Descriptive statistics of some continuous socioeconomic variables.

Variables	Mean	Std	Minimum	Maximum
Age	43.015	12.778	19	80
Household Size	8.104	5.92	1	34
Farm size	3.314	2.02	0.45	10
Average annual income	1176219	1438386	10,000	9,000,000

Source: Fieldwork (2023)

The study shows that farmers averaged 43 years in age, 8 household members, 3.3 hectares of land, and an annual income of about ₦1.18 million, though with wide disparities. These figures indicate active, family-labor-driven, small- to medium-scale farming, consistent with findings across Nigeria. Larger households support labor but strain resources, while limited land and unequal income reflect tenure and credit challenges affecting productivity.

Adoption and level of adoption of GAPs

This section presents an analysis of the adoption of GAPs among arable crop farmers in Borno State, Nigeria. This study examines the extent and level of adoption among the sampled farmers, focusing specifically on the 352 respondents out of 390 who have adopted GAPs. Of the 390 farmers surveyed, 352 (90.26%) have adopted GAPs, while only 38 (9.74%) have not (Table 3).

Table 3. Adoption and Level of Adoption of Good Agricultural Practices among Arable Crop Farmers in Borno State, Nigeria

Variables	Frequency	Percentage
Not adopted	38	9.74
Adopted	352	90.26
Adoption level		
High	265	75.28
Low	87	24.28
Total	352	100

Source: Fieldwork (2023)

The study shows a high adoption of GAPs in Borno State, with 75.28% of farmers adopting at high levels and 24.28% at low levels. GAPs boost productivity, sustainability, and food security (Lal, 2004). Extension services, financial incentives, and community influence drive adoption (Feder *et al.*, 1985; Conley & Udry, 2010). However, limited resources, training gaps, and financial constraints have hindered some farmers (Doss, 2006). Targeted support can enhance adoption, reduce disparities, and promote inclusive agricultural development.

Respondents' Level of Adoption of Good Agricultural Practices

This section presents an analysis of the adoption of GAPs among arable crop farmers in Borno State, Nigeria. This study examines the extent and level of adoption among the sampled farmers, focusing specifically on the 352 respondents out of 390 who have adopted GAPs. Of the 390 farmers surveyed, 352 (90.26%) have adopted GAPs, while only 38 (9.74%) have not (Table 4).

Table 4: Respondents' distribution based on the level of adoption of GAPs.

Good agricultural practices	Mean	Standard deviation	Remarks
Hybrid seeds	2.371795	1.16597	Low
Timely land preparation	4.069231	.83666	High
Fertilizer used for planting	3.774359	.99568	High
Timely and clean weeding	3.946154	1.01768	High
Insect pest control (field)	3.671795	1.41945	High
Timely harvesting	3.464103	.99536	High
Proper drying of the crops (using the recommended moisture level)	2.758974	.96503	Low
Seed treatment	3.984615	1.05339	High
Intensified application of manure	3.671795	1.03763	High
Mulching	3.438462	1.09326	High
Value addition	3.320513	1.06272	High
Market linkage	3.571795	1.16272	High
Site selection technique	3.774359	1.30555	High
Use of the recommended dosage of herbicides and insecticides.	3.851282	1.03019	High
Improved storage method	2.633333	1.02932	Low
Inter- and intra-row recommended spacing	3.892308	1.30893	High

Crop rotation	3.55641	1.11947	High
Successive cropping	2.461538	1.00457	Low
Use of deep urea placement	2.371795	1.01645	Low
Timely planting	3.528205	1.05452	High

Source: Fieldwork (2023)

Adoption of Good Agricultural Practices (GAPs) among arable crop farmers in Borno State varies across practices. Hybrid seeds (mean: 2.37), proper drying (2.76), improved storage (2.63), successive cropping (2.46), and urea deep placement (2.37) are largely adopted, owing to high costs, limited access, and low awareness (Nzeh & Eboh, 2019; Oladipo, 2023). In contrast, land preparation (4.07), fertilizer use (3.77), weeding (3.95), pest control (3.67), seed treatment (3.98), and manure application (3.67), which boost productivity and soil health, are highly adopted (Oluyede *et al.*, 2020; Nwachukwu, 2020). Practices such as proper spacing (3.89), market linkage (3.57), value addition (3.32), mulching (3.44), timely planting (3.53), and rotation (3.56) improve yields, reduce risks, and enhance sustainability (Musa *et al.*, 2021; Yusuf *et al.*, 2020). However, financial constraints, weak extension services, and poor infrastructure remain major barriers. Strengthening training, subsidies, and facilities will further improve GAP adoption

Socioeconomic factors influencing the level of adoption of GAPs

The logistic regression analysis (Table 5) examines the socioeconomic factors influencing the adoption of GAP among 352 farmers. The model is statistically significant (LR Chi² = 21.61, p = 0.0014) with low multicollinearity (VIF = 1.24) and no influential outliers. Access to credit positively influences adoption, whereas agricultural association membership shows an unexpected negative effect. These findings highlight the need for targeted policies to enhance the adoption of GAP.

Table 5. Logit result on socio-economic factors influencing GAP adoption level.

Variables	Coefficient	Standard error	z-value	Prob > z
Sex	-0.0238925	0.2944263	-0.08	0.935
Education	-0.0704216	0.3695659	-0.19	0.849
Farm Size	-0.1250464**	0.0606829	-2.06	0.039
Cooperative membership	-0.9392567****	0.3042572	-3.09	0.002
Access to credit	1.240503***	0.3491348	3.55	0.000
Access to the extension service	-0.1028168	0.292443	-0.35	0.725
Constant	1.873584	0.5216463	3.59	0.000
LR Chi2	21.61***			
Prob > Chi2	0.0014			
Mean VIF	1.24			
Hatsq	-0.1900711	0.2773972	-0.69	0.493
Pearson Chi2	108.90			
Prob > Chi2	0.3776			

Source: Fieldwork (2023)

*** Significant at the 1% level ** Significant at the 5% level, LR is the log likelihood ratio, VIF is the variance inflation factor.

The regression analysis examined factors influencing the adoption of GAPs among farmers. Gender had no significant effect, consistent with studies showing that access to resources and socio-economic conditions

outweigh gender in technology adoption (Gebre *et al.*, 2019; Doss, 2001; Ragasa, 2012; Peterman & Quisumbing, 2014; Kilic, Palacios-Lopez, & Goldstein, 2015; Marennya & Barrett, 2007). Education was also non-significant, as formal schooling often does not directly translate into agricultural practice adoption, with experiential knowledge, social norms, and resource access playing stronger roles (Kabunga *et al.*, 2012; Asanteat *et al.*, 2021; Manda *et al.*, 2016; Ogada *et al.*, 2014).

Farm size had a significant negative effect ($p = 0.039$), indicating that smaller farms are more likely to adopt GAPs. This reflects their greater exposure to risks, reliance on community networks, and environmental vulnerabilities, whereas larger farms may favor capital-intensive methods (Marra *et al.*, 2003; Wossen *et al.*, 2015; Noltze, Schwarze, & Qaim, 2012). Association membership also had a significant negative effect ($p = 0.002$), structural barriers, poor governance, and reinforcement of conventional practices can discourage GAP adoption. Conversely, access to credit had a strong positive effect ($p < 0.001$), confirming that financial resources are critical for overcoming liquidity constraints and investing in GAPs (Akuduguet *et al.*, 2010; Oyewole & Olagunju, 2024; Feder *et al.*, 1985). The model confirms that farm size, cooperative membership, and credit access significantly influence GAP adoption. Smaller farms and access to credit promote adoption, whereas association membership reduces it. Gender, education, and extension services were not significant. The fit of the model was good (LR Chi2 = 21.61, $p = 0.0014$; Pearson Chi2 $p = 0.3776$), with low multicollinearity (mean VIF = 1.24).

Recommendations;

1. Enhancing access to credit and market linkages

Access to credit significantly influences the adoption of GAP. Government agencies, financial institutions, and cooperatives should develop flexible, low-interest credit schemes. Additionally, improving market access through better infrastructure, price stabilization policies, and digital marketing platforms will encourage adoption by ensuring profitability.

2. Strengthening agricultural cooperatives and extension services

The existing cooperative structures should be reformed through capacity-building programs to effectively disseminate GAP knowledge. Extension services should be expanded by increasing the number of trained agents and using digital platforms to reach more farmers.

3 Creating policies promoting secure land tenure and leasing arrangements will encourage long-term investment in sustainable practices and encourage adoption of key GAPs through incentives and policy support. The low adoption of hybrid seeds, improved storage methods, and successive cropping necessitates targeted interventions, including subsidies and awareness campaigns. Stronger government policies should facilitate access to inputs, strengthen agricultural institutions, and drive widespread GAP adoption.

REFERENCES

- Adamu, A. (2021). Importance of timely planting in crop production *International Journal of Agricultural Science and Research*, 11(3), 23-30. <https://doi.org/10.5958/2320-7032.2021.00015.4>
- Adebayo, A. A., Abubakar, I. R., & Alabi, A. S. (2021). Impact of timely land preparation on crop yield in Nigeria *Nigerian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 18(1), 88-95. Retrieved from <https://www.nigerianjournalofagriculturalresearch.org>
- Adebo, G. M., & Sekumade, A. J. (2019). Socio-economic determinants of adoption of improved cassava varieties among crop farmers in Jigawa State, Nigeria. *Journal of Agricultural Economics. Journal of*

Agricultural Economics, Environment and Social Sciences, 8(1), 1-10. Retrieved from <https://jaeess.com.ng/index.php/jaeess/article/view/137>

Adenle, A. A., Azadi, H., Arbiol, J., & Van Huylenbroeck, G. (2017). Improving the performance of agriculture to meet Nigeria's increasing food demand: Challenges and opportunities *Food Security*, 9(5), 753-773. DOI: [10.1007/s12571-017-0699-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-017-0699-1)

Akomdo, C. A., Bakang, J. E. A., Agyem, E. K. T., and Alesi, I. (2023). Good agronomic practices adopted by tomato farmers in rural Ghana *Agricultural Socio-economic Journal*, 23(2), 185-197. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12371-023-00896-2>

Akomdo, S., Boateng, G. O., & Osei, R. (2023). Access to extension services and their impact on agricultural productivity in rural Ghana *Agricultural Economics*, 54(2), 123-135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agecon.2023.01.001>

Akomdo, S., Boateng, G. O., & Osei, R. (2023). Access to extension services and their impact on agricultural productivity in rural Ghana *Agricultural Economics*, 54(2), 123-135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agecon.2023.01.001>

Akudugu, M. A., Guo, E., & Dadzie, S. K. (2010). Adoption of modern agricultural production technologies by farm households in Ghana: What factors influence their decisions? *Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare*, 2(3), 1-13. doi: [10.1016/j.jbah.2012.09.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbah.2012.09.016)

Akudugu, M. A., Guo, E., & Dadzie, S. K. (2010). Adoption of modern agricultural production technologies by farm households in Ghana: What factors influence their decisions? *Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare*, 2(3), 1-13. doi: [10.1016/j.jbah.2012.09.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbah.2012.09.016)

Asante, B. O., Afari-Sefa, V., Al-Hassan, R. M., and Kuwornu, J. K. M. (2021). Smallholder adoption of sustainable agricultural practices: Evidence from vegetable farmers in Ghana *Heliyon*, vol. 7, no. 4, e06774.

Asante, B. O., Afari-Sefa, V., Al-Hassan, R. M., and Kuwornu, J. K. M. (2021). Smallholder adoption of sustainable agricultural practices: Evidence from vegetable farmers in Ghana *Heliyon*, vol. 7, no. 4, e06774.

Chimwemwe, M., & Chisenga, J. (2015). Socio-economic factors associated with the adoption of conservation agriculture among women farmers in Balaka District, Malawi. *Journal of Agricultural Economics. Journal of Gender and Agriculture*, 5(1), 23-30. <https://doi.org/10.24018/jga.2015.5.1.33><https://doi.org/10.24018/jga.2015.5.1.33>

Doss, C. R. (2001). Designing agricultural technology for African women farmers: Lessons from 25 years of experience *World Development*, 29 (12), 2075-2092.

Doss, C. R. (2001). Designing agricultural technology for African women farmers: Lessons from 25 years of experience *World Development*, 29 (12), 2075-2092.

- Doss, C. R. (2006). Analyzing technology adoption using microstudies: Limitations, challenges, and opportunities for improvement. *Agricultural Economics*, 34(3), 207-219. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-0862.2006.00184.x>
- Egbuta, C. M., 2021. Post-harvest losses due to inadequate storage methods. *Journal of Agricultural Economics and Development*, 10(1), 15-25. <https://doi.org/10.31248/JAED2021.128>
- FAO. (2021). Good agricultural practices: A global overview United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization Retrieved from <https://www.fao.org/nigeria/fao-in-nigeria/nigeria-at-a-glance/en/>
- FAO. (2021). *Nigeria at a glance*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Available from: <https://www.fao.org/nigeria/fao-in-nigeria/nigeria-at-a-glance/en/>
- Fasona, M. J., Omojola, A. S., & Adebayo, A. A. (2020). Land tenure security and agricultural investment in southwestern Nigeria *Land Use Policy*, 95, 104565. DOI: 10.1016/j.landusepol.2020.104565
- Feder, G., Just, R. E., & Zilberman, D. (1985). Adoption of agricultural innovations in developing countries: A survey. *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, 33(2), 255-298. <https://doi.org/10.1086/451477>
- Feder, G., Just, R. E., & Zilberman, D. (1985). Adoption of agricultural innovations in developing countries: A survey. *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, Vol. 33, No. 2, 255-298.
- Feder, G., Just, R. E., & Zilberman, D. (1985). Adoption of agricultural innovations in developing countries: A survey. *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, Vol. 33, No. 2, 255-298.
- Gebre, G. G., Isoda, H., Rahut, D. B., Amekawa, Y., & Nomura, H. (2019). Gender differences in the adoption of agricultural technology: The case of improved maize varieties in southern Ethiopia. *Women's Studies International Forum*, 76, 102251. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wsiforum.2011.09.013>.
- Gebre, G. G., Isoda, H., Rahut, D. B., Amekawa, Y., & Nomura, H. (2019). Gender differences in the adoption of agricultural technology: The case of improved maize varieties in southern Ethiopia. *Women's Studies International Forum*, 76, 102251. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wsiforum.2011.09.013>.
- HumAngle. (2020, September 29). COVID-19 widening the education gap in northern Nigeria. <https://humanglemedia.com/covid-19-widening-the-education-gap-in-northern-nigeria/>.
- Ibidapo O, Ogundipe, O. J., & Aiyelari, A. A. (2018). Determinants of farmers' participation in southwestern Nigeria's agricultural cooperatives *Journal of Agricultural Economics and Development*, 7(1), 56-64. <https://doi.org/10.5897/JDAE2018.0896>
- Ibrahim, H. M., Raji, A. A., & Sani, Y. A. (2023). Factors influencing agroforestry adoption in Borno State, Nigeria *Nigerian Journal of Agricultural Extension*, 21(1), 45-54. Retrieved from <https://www.ajol.info/index.php/njae/article/view/214278>

- Idowu, A. E. (2021). Patterns and determinants of land ownership in Nigeria: Implications for land policy. *GeoJournal*, 86(4), 1795-1808. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10708-020-10388-6>
- Kabunga, N. S., T. Dubois, and M. Qaim. 2012. Heterogeneous Information Exposure and Technology Adoption: The Case of Tissue Cultured Bananas in Kenya *Agricultural Economics* 43(5): 473-486.
- Kabunga, N. S., T. Dubois, and M. Qaim. 2012. Heterogeneous Information Exposure and Technology Adoption: The Case of Tissue Cultured Bananas in Kenya *Agricultural Economics* 43(5): 473-486.
- Kilic, T., A. Palacios-Lopez, and M. Goldstein. 2015. Caught in a productivity trap: A distributional perspective on agricultural gender differences *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper* 8023.
- Kilic, T., A. Palacios-Lopez, and M. Goldstein. 2015. Caught in a productivity trap: A distributional perspective on agricultural gender differences *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper* 8023.
- Kolapoa, R. M., Taiwo, K. A., & Oladipo, T. A. (2023). Conservation agriculture practices and farm size in Nigeria: A statistical approach *Agricultural Systems*, 200, 103-110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2022.103110>
- Kolapoa, R. M., Taiwo, K. A., & Oladipo, T. A. (2023). Conservation agriculture practices and farm size in Nigeria: A statistical approach *Agricultural Systems*, 200, 103-110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2022.103110>
- Kundiri, A. B., Mohammed, M. A., & Gana, M. D. (2022). Socio-economic determinants of adoption of improved cassava varieties in Jigawa State, Nigeria *Journal of Tropical Agriculture*, 60(2), 156-167. <https://doi.org/10.4314/joa.v60i2.5>
- Lal, R. (2004). Soil carbon sequestration impacts on global climate change and food security. *Science*, 304(5677), 1623-1627. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1097396>
- Lal, R. (2004). Soil carbon sequestration impacts on global climate change and food security. *Science*, 304(5677), 1623-1627. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1097396>
- Manda, J., Alene, A. D., Gardebroek, C., Kassie, M., Tembo, G., 2016. Adoption and impacts of sustainable agricultural practices on maize yields and incomes: Evidence from Zambia *Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 67(1), 130-153.
- Manda, J., Alene, A. D., Gardebroek, C., Kassie, M., Tembo, G., 2016. Adoption and impacts of sustainable agricultural practices on maize yields and incomes: Evidence from Zambia *Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 67(1), 130-153.
- Marennya, P. P., & Barrett, C. B. (2007). Household-level determinants of adoption of improved natural resources management practices among smallholder farmers in western Kenya. *Journal of the American Agricultural Association. Food Policy*, 32 (4), 515-536.

- Marenja, P. P., & Barrett, C. B. (2007). Household-level determinants of adoption of improved natural resources management practices among smallholder farmers in western Kenya. *Journal of the American Agricultural Association. Food Policy*, 32 (4), 515-536.
- Marra, M., Pannell, D. J. and Ghadim, A. A. (2003). Risk, uncertainty, and learning in the adoption of new agricultural technologies: Where are we on the learning curve? *Agricultural Systems*, 75(2-3), 215-234.
- Marra, M., Pannell, D. J. and Ghadim, A. A. (2003). Risk, uncertainty, and learning in the adoption of new agricultural technologies: Where are we on the learning curve? *Agricultural Systems*, 75(2-3), 215-234.
- Musa, B.; Sadiq, U. M.; Alabi, O. J. (2021). Insecurity and its implications for Nigeria's agricultural productivity *International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability*, 21(3), 225-239. DOI: 10.1080/14735903.2021.1884460
- N2Africa. (2015). *Putting nitrogen fixation to work for smallholder farmers in Africa–Nigeria country report*. Available from: <https://n2africa.org/sites/default/files/N2Africa%20Annual%20Report%202015%20Nigeria.pdf>
- Noltze, M., Schwarze, S., & Qaim, M. (2012). Understanding the adoption of system technologies in smallholder agriculture: The SRI system in Timor Leste *Agricultural Systems*, 108, 64-73.
- Noltze, M., Schwarze, S., & Qaim, M. (2012). Understanding the adoption of system technologies in smallholder agriculture: The SRI system in Timor Leste *Agricultural Systems*, 108, 64-73.
- NPC. (2021). *Population projections for Nigerian states based on 2006 census data* National Population Commission. Retrieved from <https://www.population.gov.ng/index.php/projected-population>
- Nwachukwu, I. N. (2020). Importance of the recommended dosage in pest control *Nigerian Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Ethics*, 12(2), 66-78. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/342318472>
- Nwaiwu, C. E. (2015). Analysis of women's participation in agricultural production in the Egbedore Local Government Area of Osun State, Nigeria *International Journal of Agricultural Economics and Extension*, 3(2), 105-111. Retrieved from <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/264120947>
- Nwaobiala, C. U., Alozie, E. N., & Anusiem, C. N. (2019). Gender differences in farmers' involvement in cassava production activities in Abia State, Nigeria *Agrosearch*, 19(1).
- Nwaru, J. C., Okoye, B. C., & Nwankwo, C. C. (2011). Credit access and agricultural productivity in Nigeria: A microeconomic analysis *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 6(6), 1401-1408. <https://academicjournals.org/journal/AJAR/article-full-text-pdf/8F1B2D51402>
- Nzeh, U. C., & Eboh, E. C. (2019). Barriers to the adoption of hybrid seeds *Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 5(1), 34-42. <https://doi.org/10.5923/j.ijas.20190501.05>

- Ochieng, J., Muriuki, A. W., & Omore, A. (2017). Adoption of climate-smart agricultural practices among smallholder farmers in Western Kenya: Do socioeconomic, institutional, and biophysical factors matter? *Agriculture and Food Security*, 6(1), 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40066-017-0105-2>
- Adigun, M. O., & Adelesoye, A. (2022). Climate change and adaptation strategies of farmers in southwest Nigeria *Agricultural and Food Economics*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40000-022-00266-0>
- Ogada, M. J., Mwabu, G., & Muchai, D. (2014). Farm technology adoption in Kenya: Simultaneous estimation of inorganic fertilizer and improved maize variety adoption decisions *Agricultural and Food Economics*, vol. 2, no. 1, 1-18.
- Ogada, M. J., Mwabu, G., & Muchai, D. (2014). Farm technology adoption in Kenya: Simultaneous estimation of inorganic fertilizer and improved maize variety adoption decisions *Agricultural and Food Economics*, vol. 2, no. 1, 1-18.
- Ogunbameru, B. O., Idrisa, Y. L., & Shehu, H. (2008). Farmers' access to and perception of extension service delivery in Borno State, Nigeria *Journal of Agricultural Extension*, 12(1), 114-122. <https://www.ajol.info/index.php/jae/article/view/47027>
- Okon, E. A., & Enete, A. A. (2022). Smart climate agriculture practices by crop farmers in Nigeria *Environmental Systems Research*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40068-022-00224-2>
- Oladeji, O. S., Raji, A. A., & Okeowo, T. A. (2020). Household size and agricultural production in Central and Northern Nigeria *Journal of Agricultural Research*, 55(4), 378-384. <https://doi.org/10.5897/JAR2020.1469>
- Oladipo, A. (2023). Post-harvest losses and challenges in crop storage. *Journal of Agricultural Economics and Rural Development*, 11(2), 122-135. <https://doi.org/10.31585/JAERD.2023.02.11>
- Oluwatayo, I. B., & Oluwaseyo, O. O. (2024). Extension services and agricultural productivity in Nigeria: A review.
- Oluwatayo, J. A., & Oluwaseyo, O. (2024). The role of agricultural extension services in enhancing smallholder farmers' productivity in Nigeria *Journal of Extension Education and Development*, 12(2), 92-105. <https://doi.org/10.24018/jeed.2024.12.2.1030>
- Oluyede, J. A., Ojo, K. O., & Fadeyibi, O. O. (2020). Timely land preparation: Its role in weed control *African Journal of Crop Science*, 8(1), 67-75. <https://doi.org/10.31414/AJCS.2020.01.001>
- Oluyede, J. A., Ojo, K. O., & Fadeyibi, O. O. (2020). Timely land preparation: Its role in weed control *African Journal of Crop Science*, 8(1), 67-75. <https://doi.org/10.31414/AJCS.2020.01.001>
- Onoja, A. O. (2023). *Agricultural Transformation in Nigeria: Trends, drivers, and prospects* African Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics (AfJARE), 18(1), 1-18. <https://afjare.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/05/1.-Onoja.pdf>

- Onyekuru, U. M., & Marchant, T. (2021). Farm size dynamics and agricultural productivity in southeastern Nigeria. *Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 72(3), 651-666. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1477-9552.12427><https://doi.org/10.1111/1477-9552.12427>
- Onyekuru, U. M., & Marchant, T. (2021). Farm size dynamics and agricultural productivity in southeastern Nigeria. *Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 72(3), 651-666. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1477-9552.12427><https://doi.org/10.1111/1477-9552.12427>
- Oseni, G. A., & Winters, P. (2021). Assessing the impact of agricultural practices on the income levels of farmers in Nigeria's middle-belt region *Journal of Development Studies*, 57(8), 1302-1318. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220388.2021.1898428><https://doi.org/10.1080/00220388.2021.1898428>
- Oseni, G. A., & Winters, P. (2021). Assessing the impact of agricultural practices on the income levels of farmers in Nigeria's middle-belt region *Journal of Development Studies*, 57(8), 1302-1318. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220388.2021.1898428><https://doi.org/10.1080/00220388.2021.1898428>
- Oyewole, S. O., & Olagunju, K. O. (2024). The role of credit access in enhancing agricultural productivity: Evidence from Nigerian smallholder farmers *Journal of Agricultural Finance and Development*, 2009, 9(1), 55-70.
- Oyewole, S. O., & Olagunju, K. O. (2024). The role of credit access in enhancing agricultural productivity: Evidence from Nigerian smallholder farmers *Journal of Agricultural Finance and Development*, 2009, 9(1), 55-70.
- Peterman, A. and Quisumbing, A. R. (2014). Understanding the complexities of gender differences in agricultural productivity in Nigeria *Journal of Development Studies*, 50(10), 1434-1448 (2014).
- Peterman, A. and Quisumbing, A. R. (2014). Understanding the complexities of gender differences in agricultural productivity in Nigeria *Journal of Development Studies*, 50(10), 1434-1448 (2014).
- Quick Projects. (2018). *Climate Analysis and Trends in Northern Nigeria* *Journal of Climate and Agricultural Studies*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 45-57. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/327563445_Climate_Analysis_and_Trends_in_Northern_Nigeria
- Ragasa, C. (2012). Gender and institutional dimensions of agricultural technology adoption: A literature review and synthesis of 35 case studies *IFPRI, Discussion Paper 01188*.
- Ragasa, C. (2012). Gender and institutional dimensions of agricultural technology adoption: A literature review and synthesis of 35 case studies *IFPRI, Discussion Paper 01188*.
- Shita, M., Olagunju, K. I., & Ayanwale, A. B. (2020). Does the adoption of agroforestry technology affect income inequality among male and female arable crop farmers in Southwest Nigeria? *Agroforestry Systems*, 94(3), 867-880. DOI: 10.1007/s10457-020-00485-2

- Tijjani, H., Umar, B. F., Abubakar, B. Z., & Aliyu, U. (2018). Socio-economic determinants of adoption of improved millet production practices by farmers in Borno State, Nigeria. *Journal of Agricultural Economics. Agrosearch*, 18(2), 129-139. <https://doi.org/10.4314/agrosh.v18i2.2>
- World Bank. (2018). *Food security and agricultural development in conflict-affected regions: The case of Borno State, Nigeria*. Washington, DC: World Bank Publications. World Bank, <https://www.worldbank.org/en/country/nigeria/publication/food-security-and-agricultural-development-in-conflict-affected-regions>
- World Bank. (2021). *World Development Indicators: Nigeria* Retrieved from <https://databankfiles.worldbank.org/public/ddpext/?f=1&v=3&l=0>
- Wossen, T., Berger, T. and Di Falco, S. (2015). Social Capital, Risk Preference, and Adoption of Sustainable Land Management Technologies in Ethiopia *Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 66(2), 476–493 (2007).
- Wossen, T., Berger, T. and Di Falco, S. (2015). Social Capital, Risk Preference, and Adoption of Sustainable Land Management Technologies in Ethiopia *Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 66(2), 476–493 (2007).
- Yusuf, A., Adetunji, A., and Olatunde, J. (2020). Mulching practices and their impact on crop growth *Journal of Soil and Crop Science*, 15(2), 180-190. <https://doi.org/10.31248/JSC.2020.08.012>