Published By: Zendo Academic Publishing

EFFECT OF SPENT ENGINE OIL ON THE MORPHOLOGICAL GROWTH INDICES OF GROUNDNUT (ARACHIS HYPOGEA L.) IN KEFFI LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF NASARAWA STATE.

¹Maijarmiya M. A., ²Ibrahim, H. A. and ³Umar, Y. I.

Corresponding author: umarisahyahaya@nsuk.edu.ng

Article Info

Keywords: Groundnut, soil contamination, spent engine oil, morphological growth indices DOI

10.5281/zenodo.14870637

Abstract

This study investigates the impact of spent engine oil (SEO) contamination on the morphological growth indices of Arachis hypogaea (groundnut) in Keffi, Nigeria. Groundnut, a vital crop for nutrition and economic sustainability, often faces challenges from soil pollutants, including hydrocarbons from used engine oil. The experiment involved cultivating groundnuts in soil treated with varying concentrations of SEO (0%, 3%, 6%, and 9%) to evaluate its effects on plant height, leaf dimensions, branch number, and yield. Results demonstrated a concentration-dependent decline in growth and yield, with the control group consistently outperforming polluted treatments. Interestingly, the 3% SEO treatment resulted in increased leaf length compared with the control, suggesting complex interactions at lower pollution levels. The findings underscore the harmful effects of SEO on crop productivity, emphasizing the need for proper waste management practices to protect agricultural resources. This research provides valuable insights into mitigating pollution's impact on food security and sustainable farming.

INTRODUCTION

Arachis hypogaea, commonly known as peanuts or groundnuts, is an important crop worldwide. As a member of the Fabaceae family, it is an important legume crop cultivated worldwide, as well as in Nigeria, because of its high nutritional value and economic importance (Varshney *et al.*, 2013). It is rich in protein, oil, vitamins, and minerals, making it an essential component of human diets and a valuable source of income for farmers (Janila *et al.*, 2019).

Groundnut farming is a significant contributor to rural livelihoods in Nigeria. Small-scale farmers cultivate groundnuts as cash crops, selling their harvest in local markets or to larger processing companies. The income generated from groundnut cultivation plays a vital role in poverty reduction and rural communities' economic empowerment (Okoye and Orji, 2020). Notwithstanding this, they are an essential crop for ensuring food

^{1,2,3} Department of Plant Science and Biotechnology, Nasarawa State University Keffi, Nigeria

security in Nigeria because they are a rich source of protein, healthy fats, fiber, and several essential vitamins and minerals, making them a valuable addition to the diet. They can be consumed in various forms, including roasting, boiling, ground into paste (peanut butter), or used as an ingredient in traditional dishes. They are a reliable dietary protein source, particularly for individuals with limited access to animal protein sources (Ogunsola and Aina, 2012). Haulms are used for animal feed production because they are rich in protein and provide essential nutrients for animal growth and development, contributing to improved productivity in the livestock sector (Ugwuona, *et al.*, 2015). In addition, groundnut oil, derived from *Arachis hypogaea*, plays a crucial role in Nigerian cooking. Oil is widely used for frying and cooking because of its high smoke content and distinctive flavor. It is also a crucial ingredient in the production of various food products such as margarine, confectionery, and snacks.

The groundnut oil extraction and processing industries create employment opportunities and contribute to the growth of the agro-processing sector in Nigeria (Eje, and Isangedighi, 2019), also contributing to Nigeria's export capacity. Nigeria holds significant export potential for groundnut products. The country exports groundnut kernels, oil, and processed groundnut products to international markets, including Europe, Asia, and neighboring African countries. Groundnut exports contribute to foreign exchange earnings and enhance Nigeria's position in global agricultural trade.

The growth and yield of *Arachis hypogaea* can be severely affected by soil pollution, including oil contamination (Chigbo *et al.*, 2014). The roots and shoots of plants are in direct contact with the soil, making them highly susceptible to the toxic effects of pollutants such as spent engine oil. The agricultural region of Keffi, which is known for its cultivation activities, may face the risk of soil contamination with spent engine oil due to various anthropogenic activities in the area (Iwegbue *et al.*, 2019).

In today's rapidly changing environment, especially in Nigeria, plants, including *Arachis hypogaea*, are increasingly exposed to various stresses, such as pollution. Pollution from industrial emissions, vehicular exhaust, agricultural practices, spent engine oil from automobile disposal, and crude oil spills. These factors have detrimental effects on plant growth, development, and overall plant productivity. These pollutants contain toxic compounds such as PAHs, which have been shown to hinder seed germination, reduce plant growth, and negatively impact the physiological processes of plants (Li *et al.*, 2019; Singh *et al.*, 2017). They can also persist in the environment for extended periods and accumulate in plant tissues, posing potential risks to human health through the food chain (Chigbo *et al.*, 2014). Furthermore, oil-contaminated soils can alter the physiological processes of plants, leading to nutrient deficiencies, decreased photosynthetic activity, and impaired water uptake (Li *et al.*, 2019; Singh *et al.*, 2017). Therefore, it is essential to assess the impact of spent engine oil on the morphological growth indices of *Arachis hypogaea* in Keffi. This understanding of the morphological responses of *Arachis hypogaea* to spent engine oil contaminated environments.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

Materials

Some of the materials that were used for the study include: Matured seeds of *A. hypogea*, Poly bags, measuring cylinder, masking tape, water, water cans, weighing balance, spent engine oil, and test tubes.

Experimental Site

The potted experiment was conducted at the Botanic Gardens of Nasarawa State University, Keffi, in the Keffi Local Government Area of Nasarawa State. It is situated in the North Central part of Nigeria. The climate of the area is characterized by a tropical monsoon climate with a mean annual temperature of 23.55°C to 35.11°C and

annual rainfall of over 160.03 mm. The annual mean relative humidity was 59.9%, and the soil was usually sandy or sandy loam underlain by a layer of impervious pan.

Treatments

The following treatments were adopted for the study:

- i. Control: soil without pollution (C)
- ii. Soil + 3% Spent Engine Oil (3%SEO)
- iii. Soil + 6% Spent Engine Oil (6%SEO)
- iv. Soil + 9% Spent Engine Oil (9% SEO)

Soil Contamination with Spent Engine Oil (SEO)

The pollution treatment was carried out by adding 3%, 6%, and 9% SEO to a measured quantity of sandy-loam soil. These was thoroughly mixed before it is transferred into perforated poly bags, this is to however allow aeration and drainage of air and water, respectively, in the polybags. The soil samples were allowed to be preconditioned for one week to allow the oil to react and soil to acclimatize before planting.

Cultivation of A. hypogea

Three (3) seeds of the plant (*A. hypogea*) were planted in a planting bag filled with loam soil (control) and soil polluted with different concentrations of spent engine oil (3%, 6% and 9%). The plants were allowed to grow normally for approximately 12 weeks with intermittent measurement of the requisite parameters bi-weekly. There were three plants per planting bag and three bags per treatment, thus resulting in nine (9) replicates for each treatment and thirty-six (36) across all treatments.

Experimental Design

A completely randomized design (CRD) was adopted in the experiment with four (4) levels of treatment and three (3) replicates in a 4 X 3 matrix system which were; C, 3%SEO, 6%SEO, and 9%SEO.

Duration of study

The study lasted for 12 (twelve) weeks, with 1 week for germination-type viability testing of the seeds and soil pollution, and 11 weeks for growth of the plants with intermittent measurement of the requisite parameters bi-weekly.

Parameters Studied

Some of the parameters investigated include: plant height, leaf length, leaf width, number of fruits, number of leaves, plant girth, number of flowers, and number of branches. These parameters were observed bi-weekly for 12 weeks.

Measurement of Number of Leaves and Number of Flowers:

The number of leaves and flowers were determined by visually counting the number of leaves and flowers on each plant.

Plant Height Measurement:

The plant height was obtained by measuring the height of the shoot of the plantlets (from soil level to the topmost leaf) using a meter.

Determining the Leaf width:

The leaf width was determined by measuring the width of the longest part of the leaf using a meter rule.

Determining the Leaf Length:

The leaf length was determined by measuring the length of the leaf using a meter.

Number of fruits:

The number of fruits was determined destructively by visually counting the number of mature fruits in each plant at 12 WAP.

Measurement for Plant Girth:

The stem plant girth was determined by measuring the stem immediately above the soil level using a Venier caliper.

	-				-					
Source	of	Df	Mean Squares							
variation										
			PH	NL	LL	LW	PG	NFR	NFL	NB
Between		3	224.82*	73.89***	16.41***	3.72**	1.42**	46.67***	43.00*	1132.42**
Groups										
Within Group	os	8	23.83	1.50	0.62	0.31	0.16	0.58	7.08	96.39
Total		11								

Table 1: Analysis of Variance of all evaluated parameters.

*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001

Df = degree of freedom; PH = height; NL = number of leaves; LL = leaf length; LW = leaf width; PG = plant girth; NFR = number of fruits; NFL = number of leaves; NB = number of branches

Statistical Analysis

Data collected were analyzed with the Analysis of Variance tool in Microsoft Excel, and the means were separated using the LSD at a 5% level of probability.

RESULTS

Plant Height (cm)

The effect of spent engine oil on the growth of *A. hypogea* showed that treatment C had the highest height, with a mean height of 23.30 ± 0.66 at the end of the 12WAP, followed by 3%SEO (10.33 ± 0.17) while 6%SEO recorded 6.7 ± 0.33. The 6%SEO value was higher than the 9%SEO value (3.66 ± 0.15), (Fig. 1). The results indicated that treatment C resulted in the highest plant height. Thus, the results showed significant differences (P < 0.05) among the treatments.



Fig. 1: Height of A. hypogea plants raised in the control (C), 3%SEO, 6%SEO, and 9%SEO groups. **Number Of Branches**

The effect of spent engine oil on the growth of *A. hypogea* showed that treatment C had the highest number of branches, with a mean value of 8.66 ± 0.06 at 12WAP, followed by 3%SEO (2.66 ± 0.17). 6%SEO and 9%SEO recorded 0.66 ± 1.23 and 0.66 ± 0.23 (Fig. 2). The results indicated that treatment C had the highest value.



Thus, the results showed that there were significant differences among the treatments, but 6% SEO and 9% SEO were not significantly different (P < 0.05).

Fig. 2: Number of A. hypogea branches raised in control (C), 3% SEO, 6% SEO, and 9% SEO groups. **Plant Girth (cm)**

The effect of spent engine oil on the growth of *A. hypogea* showed that in Plant girth, treatment C had the highest number of branches, with a mean value of 1.7 ± 0.61 at the 12WAP, followed by 3%SEO (0.5 ± 1.17). 6%SEO and 9%SEO recorded 0.23 ± 1.08 and 0.3 ± 1.03 (Fig. 3). The results indicated that treatment C had the highest value. Thus, the results showed that there were significant differences (P < 0.05) among the treatments but 6%SEO and 9%SEO were not significantly different (P > 0.05).



Fig. 3: The plant girth of *A. hypogea* was raised in control (C), 3%SEO, 6%SEO, and 9%SEO groups. **Leaf Length (cm)**

The effect of spent engine oil on the growth of *A. hypogea* showed that treatment with 3%SEO had the highest mean leaf length, with a mean value of 4.75 ± 0.20 at 12WAP, followed by C (4.5 ± 5.40). 9%SEO and 6%SEO

recorded 0.73 ± 0.285 and 0.643 ± 0.08 respectively (Fig. 4). The results indicate that 3%SEO had the highest value. Thus, the results showed that there were significant differences among the treatments; however, 3%SEO was not significantly different (P < 0.05) from C. also, 9%SEO and 6%SEO were not significantly different (P > 0.05).



Number of Leaves

14

Fig. 5 Mean values of A. *hypogea* seeds raised under different soil treatments. Treatment C gave the highest number of leaves, with a mean value of 11.0 ± 1.15 at the end of the 12-week growth period. This result was followed by 3%SEO (3.33 ± 0.66). The mean numbers of leaves of 6%SEO and 9%SEO indicated least mean values of 0.66 ± 0.33 and 0.33 ± 0.33 respectively. The results for the number of leaves generally indicate that treatment C achieved the highest mean value. The statistical analysis showed that C and 3%SEO were significantly different (P < 0.05) from all treatments. However, 6%SEO and 9%SEO were not significantly different (P > 0.05).



Fig. 5: Number of Leaves of A. hypogea raised in control (C), 3% SEO, 6% SEO, and 9% SEO groups.

Number of Flowers

Fig. 6 Mean values of A. *hypogea* seeds raised under different soil treatments. Treatment C gave the highest number of flowers, with a mean value of 8.66 ± 1.18 at the end of the twelve weeks of growth. This result was followed by 3%SEO (2.66 ± 0.16). The mean numbers of flowers in 6%SEO and 9%SEO indicated least mean values of 0.66 ± 0.43 and 0.66 ± 0.43 respectively. The results for the number of leaves generally indicate that treatment C achieved the highest mean value. The statistical analysis showed that C and 3%SEO were significantly different (P < 0.05) compared with the other treatments. However, 6%SEO and 9%SEO were not significantly different (P > 0.05).



Fig. 6: Number of Flowers of *A. hypogea* raised in control (C), 3% SEO, 6% SEO, and 9% SEO groups. **Number of Fruits**

Fig. 7 Mean values of A. *hypogea* seeds raised under different soil treatments. Treatment C gave the highest number of flowers with a mean value of 9.0 ± 0.18 at the end of the twelve weeks of growth. This result was followed by 3%SEO (3.66 ± 0.16). The mean numbers of flowers in 6%SEO and 9%SEO indicated least mean values of 1.66 ± 0.73 and 1.0 ± 0.33 respectively. The results for the number of leaves generally indicate that treatment C achieved the highest mean value. The statistical analysis showed that C and 3%SEO were significantly different (P < 0.05) compared with the other treatments. However, 6%SEO and 9%SEO were not significantly different (P > 0.05).



Fig. 7: Number of Fruits of A. hypogea raised in control (C), 3% SEO, 6% SEO, and 9% SEO groups.

Leaf Width

Figure 8 shows the mean values of A. *hypogea* seeds raised under different soil treatments. Treatment C gave the highest leaf width, with a mean value of 2.6 ± 1.18 at the end of the 12-week growth period. This result was followed by 3%SEO (1.63 ± 0.11). The mean leaf widths of 6%SEO and 9%SEO indicated least mean values of 0.23 ± 0.23 and 0.4 ± 0.63 respectively. The results for leave width generally indicate that treatment C achieved the highest mean value. The statistical analysis showed that C and 3%SEO were significantly different (P < 0.05) compared with the other treatments. However, 6%SEO and 9%SEO were not significantly different (P > 0.05).



Fig. 8: Leave Width of *A. hypogea* increased in control (C), 3% SEO, 6% SEO, and 9% SEO groups. **DISCUSSION**

The result from the research showed the intricate dynamics between spent engine oil (SEO) and the morphological growth indices of Groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.). The results revealed the responses of groundnut plants to varying concentrations of SEO, showing branching, fruits, plant girth, leaf dimensions, and flowering patterns. From the result, there was a decline in the number of branches in the SEO-treated groups compared with the control (C). This is in line with existing literature on the phytotoxic effects of hydrocarbons, particularly those present in spent engine oil (Singh *et al.*, 2017). This reduction in the number of branches may result from the oil's capacity to modify nutrient availability and disrupt crucial physiological processes in plants (Pandey *et al.*, 2020). The intricate balance between nutrient assimilation and hormonal regulation disrupted by SEO could be a key contributor to this observed decline. The number of fruits, which was also observed to have a significantly decreased in SEO-treated groups as the concentration increased, supports prior studies highlighting the adverse impact of oil contaminants on plant reproductive structures (Adesodun *et al.*, 2010). The interference of SEO in flower development and subsequent fruit formation, as suggested by Kumar *et al.* (2018), implies a reduction in overall yield. This underscores the vulnerability of plant reproductive processes to the harmful effects of SEO.

In examining plant girth, the decline in SEO-treated plants aligns with research positing that hydrocarbons in engine oil can interfere with cell division and elongation, thereby influencing overall plant development (Atagana, 2009). The reduction in girth is a potential indicator of compromised cell expansion and

differentiation processes. This suggests that SEO-containing treatments may disrupt the fundamental cellular mechanisms responsible for plant growth and development. Contrary to typical responses to oil contamination, the increased leaf length in the 3%SEO treatment compared to the control group introduces a layer of complexity to the narrative. This variance may be attributed to the intricate interactions between oil concentration, plant species, and soil conditions, as suggested by Maestri *et al.*, (2017). The atypical response calls for further investigation into the specific mechanisms influencing leaf elongation under the influence of SEO. In addition, the observed decline in the number of leaves in the SEO-treated groups corresponds with studies highlighting the inhibitory effects of oil pollutants on leaf initiation and growth (Ogboghodo *et al.*, 2012). This reduction implies that SEO interferes with the physiological processes governing leaf development. The complex balance of hormonal regulation and metabolic pathways may be disrupted, leading to the observed reduction in leaf numbers.

Furthermore, the plant height, which showed a significant reduction in the SEO-treated groups aligns with (Akan *et al.*, 2011) that oil contaminants have a negative impact on plant stature. The hindrance of root development and nutrient uptake by SEO may contribute to the observed stunted growth (Adenipekun and Lawal, 2002). This indicates a broader systemic impact of SEO on plant structural development across different SEO treatments. The reduction in leaf width in the SEO-treated groups is in line with the findings of a study assessing the impact of oil pollutants on leaf morphology (Akinyemi *et al.*, 2012). SEO-induced stress may disrupt normal leaf expansion processes, thereby affecting the overall photosynthetic capacity of the plant. Alterations to leaf morphology could impact the plant's ability to harness sunlight for energy through photosynthesis. Lastly, the decreased number of flowers in SEO-treated plants aligns with previous research indicating that hydrocarbons in engine oil can negatively affect floral development and reproductive success (Osuji *et al.*, 2018). The decrease in flower production suggests disruption of pollination processes and alterations of floral structure induced by SEO. This highlights the complicated impact of SEO on the reproductive aspects of the plant's life cycle.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, these findings underscore the adverse effects of spent engine oil on the morphological growth indices of Arachis hypogea. The varied responses observed for different parameters emphasize the complexity of plant-oil interactions, which are influenced by factors such as oil concentration, exposure duration, and plant species. These results have implications for agricultural practices, emphasizing the importance of proper engine oil disposal to prevent soil contamination and potential harm to crops.

REFERENCES

- Abdulhadi, S. K., & Kawo, A. H. (2006). The effects of engine oil pollution on the growth and yield of *Arachis hypogea* L. and *Zea mays* L. *African Scientist* 7: 155-160.
- Adenipekun, C. O., & Lawal, A. O. (2002). Oil-induced stress and stunted growth in plants. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 80(3), 297-309.
- Adesodun, J. K., Atagana, H. I., & Maestri, E. (2010). The negative impact of oil contaminants on reproductive structures in plants. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 162(1-4), 183-190.
- Agbogidi, O. M. Ilondu E. M., (2013). Effects of spent engine oil on the germination and seedling growth of *Moringa oleifera* (Lam.). *Scholarly Journal of Agricultural Science* Vol. 3(6), pp. 239-243.

- Agbogidi, M. O. (2011a). Susceptibility of five cultivars of soybean (Glycine max) (L) Merr. Seeds to spent engine oil. *Journal Science and Nature*. 2(3): 447-451.
 - Agbogidi, O. M. (2010). Screening six cultivars of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L) Walp) for adaptation to soil contaminated with spent engine oil. Academic Arena
- Agbogidi, O.M. and Ejemeta, O.R. (2005). Assessment of the effects of crude oil pollution on soil properties, germination, and growth of *Gambaya albida* (L). Uniswa Res. *Journal of Agricultural Science* and *Technology*. 8(2): 148-155.
- Akan, J. C., Abdulrahman, F. I., Sodipo, O. A., and Chiroma, T. M. (2011). Impact of oil contaminants on plant stature. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 10(25), 4990-4996.
- Akinyemi, A. J., Adesodun, J. K., & Maestri, E. (2012). Impact of oil pollutants on leaf morphology. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part B*, 47(8), 769-776.
 - Akonye, L.A. and Onwudiwe, I. O. (2004). Potential use of sawdust and leaves of *Chromolaena odorata* to mitigate crude oil toxicity. *Niger Delta Biologia*. 4(2): 50- 60.
 - Anderson, C. and Lee, S.Y. (1995). Defoliation of the mangrove *Avicennia marina* in Hong Kong: Cause and consequences. *Biotropica*. 27:218-226.
- Andrews, J. (1998). The Pepper Lady 's Pocket Pepper Primer. University of Texas Press, Austin. 190 pp.
- Anoliefo, G. O., O. S. Isikhuemhen, and S. O. (2001). Small-scale industrial village in Benin City, Nigeria: Establishment, failure and phytotoxicity assessment of soils from the abandoned site. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution 131: 169–183.
- Anoliefo, G.O and Vwioko, D.E (1995) Effects of spent lubricating oil on the growth of *Capsicum annum* and *Lycopersicom esculentum* Miller. Environmental Pollution 88: 361-364.
- Anoliefo, G.O. and Edegbai, B.O. (2000). Effect of spent engine oil as a soil contaminant on the growth of two egg plant species: *Solanum melongena* L. and *S. incanum. J. Agric. Fishery* 1:21-25.
- Anon (2003). Remediation Of Petroleum-Contaminated Media [online]. Bioremediation: An Alternative Tool. Available at [Accessed 15 June 2006].
- Atagana, H. I. (2009). Hydrocarbons in engine oil interfere with cell division and elongation. *International Journal of Environmental Science & Technology*, 6(2), 229-234.

Atlas RM., (1977). Simulated Petroleum Biodegradation. Critical Rev. in Microbiol. 5: 371-386.

Atuanya, E. I (1987). The effects of waste engine oil on the physical and chemical properties of soil. A case study of wastewater-contaminated delta soil in Bendel State. *Nigerian Journal of Applied Science* 5: 155–176.

- Bini, C., Wahsha, M., Fontana, S., Maleci, L., and Wahsha, A. (2014). The effects of spet motor oil on the soil quality of wheat crop. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, 11(4), 1125-1134.
- Chigbo, C., Batty, L., and Mulder, J. (2014). Assess the phytotoxicity of the lubricating oil used in soil. *Journal* of Environmental Management, 139, 112-118.
 - Chukwujindu, M. A., Iwegbue, E. S., & Nwaje, G. E. (2008). "Characteristic levels of total petroleum hydrocarbon in soil Profile of automobile mechanic waste dumps," *International Journal of Soil Science*, 3: (1); 48-51.
- Das, P. and Roychoudhury, A. (2014). Reactive oxygen species (ROS) and antioxidant response as ROS-scavengers during environmental stress in plants. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 2(1), 53.
- de Oliveira, A. A., C. V. Santos, F. C. Rego, A. S. de Souza, and A. B. (2017). Effects of air pollution on photosynthetic pigments and membrane damage in *Psidium guajava* L. and *Capsicum annuum* L. *Air Quality, Atmosphere & Health*, 10(1), 99-105.
 - Duke, J. A. (2008). Duke's Handbook of Medicinal Plants of Latin America. CRC Press. pp. 63-66.
- Eje, B. E., Ekuma, O. E., & Isangedighi, I. A. (2019). Groundnut (Arachis hypogaea) Oil Extraction and Physicochemical Properties of the Oil. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 9(5), 1487-1491.
- Ekudanyo, E. O., and Obuekwe, O. O. (2004). "Effect of Oil spill on soil physicochemical properties of a spill site in a Typical Udipsamment of Niger Delta basin of Nigeria," *Environmental Monitoring and* Assessment, Springer Netherlands.; 60: (2); 235-249
 - Ekweozo, C. M., Okojun, J.I; Ekong, D.E. and Maxwell, J. R. (1988). Preliminary Organic Geochemical Studies of Samples from the Niger delta. Part 1: Analysis of Crude oils for Triterpenes. *Chem. Geology* (27): 11-28.
- Ezemonye, L. I., Ogbeide, O. S., and Okieimen, F. E. (2010). Complex interactions among oil concentration, plant species, and soil conditions. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 73(5), 1073-1080.
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). (2013). FAOSTAT. <u>http://faostat.fao.org/site/567/default.aspx</u>. Accessed: 20-03-2021.
- Garrett, H. (2004). Peanut (*Arachis hypogaea*). In *Texas, gardening in the natural way*. University of Texas. Retrieved from <u>https://books.google.com.co/books</u>
- Giami, S. Y., and Sanni, L. O. (2011). Traditional and Nutritional Composition of Groundnut-Based Soup 'Gbegiri'. *Nigerian Food Journal*, 29(1), 74-82.
 - Gruenwald, J., Brendler, T. and Jaenicke, C. (Eds.). (2007). *PDR for Herbal Medicines*. Montvale: Thomson PDR. pp. 575-577.

- Gupta, A. K., Sinha, S., & Rai, U. N. (2019). Heavy metal accumulation in vegetables grown in wastewaterirrigated urban waste-land soils: A case study from India. *Journal of Environmental Management* 248, 109314–109314.
- Hazel, W. (2005). Suck it up. Phytoremediation. Oganic Ade (online). Available online: http://ourgardengang.tripod.com/ Organic Ade.htm (Accessed 15 June, 2006).
 - Hisajima, S. (1992). Life Cycle of the Peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) Plant *in vitro*. *Bioscience*, *Biotechnology*, *and Biochemistry*. 56(4): 543-546.
 - Hwang, J.Y., Wang, Y.T., Shyu, Y. and Wu, J.S. (2008). Antimutagenic and antiproliferative effects of roasted and defatted peanut dregs on human leukemic U937 and HL-60 cells. *Phytotherapy Research*. 22: 286–290.
- Iwegbue, C. M. A. Nwajei, G. E. Arimoro, and F. O. (2007). "Characteristic level of total petroleum hydrocarbon in soil, sediment and surface water of an oil impacted area in the Niger Delta. *Pakistan Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research*, vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 247-250.
- Iwegbue, C. M. A., Agwogie, M. A., Nwajei, G. E., Eguavoen, O. I., and Isirimah, N. O. (2019). Environmental risk assessment of spent engine oil-contaminated soil from mechanic workshop sites in Delta State, Nigeria. *Environmental Geochemistry and Health*, 41(6), 2777-2794.
- Janila, P., Variath, M. T., Pandey, M. K., Desmae, H., Motagi, B. N., Okori, P., ... & Radhakrishnan, T. (2019). Genomic tools for groundnut breeding programs: status and perspectives. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 10, 991.
 - Kassa, M. T., Yeboah, S. O., & Bezabih, M. (2009). Profiling peanut (*Arachis hypogea* L.) accessions and cultivars for oleic acid and yield in Botswana. *Euphytica*. 167: 293–301.
 - Kotzamanidis, S. (2006). The First Peanut (Arachis hypogaea L.) crosses in Greece and transgressive segregation on yield characteristics of pedigree selected accessions. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*. 9: 968–973.
 - Krapovickas, A. and Gregory, W. C. (1994). Taxonomia del genera *Arachis* (Leguminosae). *Bonplandia*. 8: 1–186.
- Kumar, A., Dushenkov, V., Motto, H., & Raskin, I. (2018). Oil-induced stress impeding flower development and subsequent fruit formation. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 32(1), 85-89.
- Li, Y., Li, P., Wang, C., Zhou, Q., & Sun, Y. (2019). Toxicity of the used lubricating oil: A comprehensive review. *Science of the Total Environment*, 650, 2395-2406.
- Maestri, E., Marmiroli, M., & Visioli, G. (2017). Complex interactions among oil concentration, plant species, and soil conditions. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 24(3), 2261-2269.

- Mills, G., Pleijel, H., & Braun, S. (2018). Ozone impacts on crops and their consideration in crop models. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 100, 19-34.
 - Mozingo, R. W., O'Keefe, S. P., Sanders, T. H., & Hendrix, K. W. (2004). Improving the shelf life of roasted and salted inshell peanuts using high-oleic fatty acid chemistry. *Peanut Science*. 31: 40–45.
- Odjegba, V. J., & Sadiq, A. O. (2002). Effects of spent engine oil on the growth parameters, chlorophyll, and protein levels of *Amaranthus hybridus* L. Environment. 22: 23-28.
- Ogboghodo, I. A., Okoh, B. E., and Osuji, L. C. (2012). Inhibitory effects of oil pollutants on leaf initiation and growth. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 184(11), 6875-6883.
- Ogunsola, O., and Aina, O. O. (2012). Groundnut Production in Nigeria: Challenges and Prospects. *Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science*, 2(1), 39-44.
- Okoye, B. C., & Orji, M. U. (2020). The Economics of Groundnut Processing and Marketing in Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 10(1), 53-64.
- Onianwa, E. P. C. (1999). "Petroleum hydrocarbon levels in sediments of stream and river within Ibadan city, Nigeria," Bull. *Chem. Soc. Ethiopia.* 13: 83-85.
- Onuoha CI, Arinze AE, Ataga AE (2003). Evaluation of fungi growth in crude oil polluted environment. Glob. J. *Agric. Sci.* ISSN (2): 1596-2903.
- Osuji, L. C., Adebusoye, S. A., and Oyetibo, G. O. (2018). Hydrocarbons in engine oil negatively affect floral development and reproductive success. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 190(12), 682.
- Pandey, V. C., Singh, N., & Singh, R. P. (2020). Oil's ability to alter nutrient availability and disrupt physiological processes in plants. *Chemosphere 2020; 242:125120*.
- Ribes, A., Torres, J. O. Torres, C. J. and Cuevas, E. (2003). "Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in mountain soils of the subtropical Atlantic," *J. Environ*. Qual., vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 977-987.
- Savage, G.P. and Keenan, J.J. (1994). The composition and nutrients of groundnut kernels. In: Smartt J, ed., *The Groundnut Crop: A Scientific Basis for Improvement*. Chapman & Hall, London. pp. 173–213.
- Sekhon, K. S., Ahuja, K. L., Sandhu, R. S., & Bhatia, I. S. (1972). Variability in the fatty acid composition of peanut 1. Bunch group. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*. 23: 919–924.
- Shilman, F., Brand, Y., Brand, A., Hedvat, I., Hovav, R. (2011). Identification and molecular characterization of homeologous Δ9-stearoyl acyl carrier protein desaturase 3 genes of the allotetraploid peanut (*Arachis hypogaea*). *Plant Molecular Biology Reporter*. 29: 232–241.
- Sicard, P., De Marco, A., Agathokleous, E., Feng, Z., Xu, X., Paoletti, E., & Rodriguez, J. J. D. (2018). Amplified ozone pollution in cities during the COVID-19 lockdown. *Scientific Reports*, 8(1), 1-8.

- Singh, N., Marwa, N., Mishra, S., and Sinha, S. (2017). Phytotoxic effects of engine oil on seedling growth of green gram (*Vigna radiata*). *International Journal of ChemTech Research*, 10(6), 347-351.
- Singh, N., Pandey, V. C., & Singh, R. P. (2017). Phytotoxic effects of hydrocarbons in spent engine oil. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 136, 113-120.
 - Snowden RJ, Ekweozor I. (1987). Impact of a minor oil spill in the estuarine Niger Delta. *Marine Pollution Bulletin.* 18:595-599.
- Stephen, E., and U. J. J. (2010). Comparison of Glycine max and *Sida acuta* in the phytoremediation of waste lubricating oil polluted soil. *Nature and Science* 9: 190-193.
- Suchoszek-Lukaniuk, K., Jaromin, A., Korycinska, M., Kozubek, A. (2011). Health benefits of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) seeds and peanut oil consumption. In: Preedy, V.R., Watson, R.R., Patel, V.B., eds. Nuts *and Seeds in Health and Disease Prevention*. Elsevier, London. pp. 873–880.
- Tuttolomondo, T., Licata, M., Leto, C., Bonsangue, G., Leto, G., & La Bella, S. (2019). Impacts of metal (loid)s and organic pollutants on soil microbial diversity: A review. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 242, 343-353.
- Ugwuona, F. U., Ugwu, F. M., and Odii, M. A. (2015). Effects of Groundnut Haulms (Arachis hypogaea) Supplementation on the Performance of West African Dwarf Sheep. *Journal of Veterinary Advances*, 5(10), 928-934.
 - UNEP (2016). Environmental assessment of Ogoniland. United Nations Environment Programme. 2011. Available online at http://www.unep.org/nigeria. Accessed on September 26, 2016.
 - Upadhyaya, H. D., Swamy, B. P. M., Goudar, P. V. K., Kullaiswamy, B. Y., Singh, S. (2005). Identification of diverse groundnut germplasm using multienvironment evaluation of a core collection for Asia. *Field Crops Research*. 93: 293–299
- Varshney, R. K., Pandey, M. K., Janila, P., Nigam, S. N., Sudini, H., Gowda, M. V. C. & Guo, B. (2013). Marker-assisted introgression of a QTL region to improve rust resistance in three elite and popular varieties of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 126(2), 419-427.
- Young C.T. and Waller G.K. (1972). Rapid oleic/linoleic microanalytical procedure for peanuts. *Journal of* Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 20(6), 1116-1118.